

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/927,638 | 08/13/2001 | Hiromichi Takada | 212412US-2DIV | 7996 | | |
| 22850 | 7590 09/15/2004 | 09/15/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | SILBERMANN, JOANNE | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | | 3611 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | — | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/927,638 | TAKADA ET AL. | | | | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Joanne Silbermann | 3611 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of AppelExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data are been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying th | е | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | t | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | • | • | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 5-13 | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | a 1 | | | | |
| | | Jam Sulla Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner | | | | |



Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: The claims would be rejected as they are in the final rejection of 5/28/2004. Applicant's arguments regarding the data code and data structure have been considered, however, Applicant's invention appears to contain only data, not data structure or code..